

PLANNING & ENVIRONMENT COURT OF
QUEENSLAND

APPEAL NO. 313 of 2010

In the conclave of 30 June the heritage experts have considered the test in the Queensland Heritage Act 1992:

Whether approving the development would be to destroy or substantially reduce the cultural heritage significance of a State Heritage Place.

Flowing from the previous Joint Report, Michael Scott has given the rear service wing proposed to be demolished Considerable Significance and hence its demolition would substantially reduce the cultural significance of the Place.

Stephen Davies has given the rear service wing proposed to be demolished Little or no Significance and hence its demolition would not substantially reduce the cultural significance of the Place.

Duncan Ross-Watt has given the rear service wing proposed to be demolished Some Significance and hence its demolition would not substantially reduce the cultural significance of the Place.

The three Heritage Experts agree the demolition of the rear wing would not destroy the cultural heritage significance of the Place.


Michael Scott is of the opinion that a prudent and feasible alternative to demolishing the rear service wing of 84 Fitzroy Street would be to not demolish the rear service wing of 84 Fitzroy Street.

Signed:  Michael Scott

Date: 30/6/11.

Signed:  Stephen Davies

Date: 30.06.11.

Signed:  Duncan Ross-Watt

Date: 30/06/11