

## RIDDEL ARCHITECTURE

ns lane petrie bight Q4000 PO BOX 1267 fortitude valley Q4006 T 07 3831 4155 F 07 3831 4150 reception@rara.net.au www.rara.net.au

Nomamere Pty Ltd ABN 90010872330

DIRECTORS

Robert Riddel robert@rara.net.au Geoff Cook

geoffc@rara.net.au

David Gote Gail Babbage

davidg@rara.net.au qailb@rara.net.au

ARCHITECTS & CONSERVATION CONSULTANTS

September 10th 2008

Southern Downs Regional Council PO Box 26. Warwick Qld 4370

ATTENTION: The Chief Executive Officer

Dear Sir

Development Application MCU | 00860 Preliminary Approval to demolish heritage Listed Buildings, 82+84 Fitzroy Street Warwick

SOUTHERN DOWNS REGIONAL COUNCIL WARWICK BRANCH RECEIVED 1 1 SEP 2008

We refer you to the Environmental Protection Agency heritage listing 601725, Plumb's Chambers and the Warwick Shire Council Planning Scheme, specifically Section 4 " Measures for Particular Land Use Areas" and the Cultural Heritage Policy.

Under the state listing both buildings at 82 and 84 Fitzroy Street satisfy Cultural Heritage Significance Criteria A to H, indicating that they represent unique, irreplaceable historic value to the city of Warwick.

The Warwick Shire Council Planning Scheme for 4.2 City Centre in 4.2.1 Key Policy Statements item (ii) states;-

The city centre will have a high standard of amenity, with a cohesive streetscape character in which buildings of heritage significance are protected and new development occurs in a compatible form

The intent is that cultural significance will be protected <u>not demolished</u>

The Warwick Shire Council Planning Scheme for 4.2 City Centre in 4.2.2 Policy Intent paragraph 5 states;-

The buildings of heritage significance within the city centre are listed in Planning Scheme Policy - Cultural Heritage. It is intended that these buildings are to be used for commercial activities; however, development is to be undertaken in such a way as to protect their heritage values.

The intent is that cultural values will be protected not demolished

And paragraph 7 states:-

Development on other premises in the city centre is to occur in manner which is compatible with the buildings listed in the Cultural Heritage Policy

The intent is that where development is to occur around or within culturally significant sites the work will be compatible with the listed buildings not cause their demolition.

The Warwick Shire Council Planning Scheme for 4.2 City Centre, 4.2.5 City Centre Development Code, 4.2.5.2 Purpose states;-

The purpose of this code is to retain the heritage qualities of the City Centre through the retention of highly significant and significant heritage places in a streetscape context which provides for sympathetic alterations to existing buildings and the incorporation of new development which is compatible with, and respectful to , the existing streetscape character.

the intent is that <u>retention</u> of heritage places is paramount, <u>not demolition</u>

We object to the proposal and request that it be not approved on the basis of the following points.

- Demolition would contravene the Council's Planning Policies
- The Environmental Protection Agency has listed both buildings for the purpose of their.
   conservation and permanent protection
- The option to purchase the property currently held by the developer with the intention
  of demolition could be determined as resulting in only one outcome which is to
  prevent the conservation or at least temporary preservation of the buildings.
- Submissions made to Council to date focus on demolition as the most appropriate action and could be biased towards this solution due to an underlying motivation to establish a site that enables a more simplistic and profitable development approach.
- Although there is a suggestion in documentation lodged with the Council that repair
  and reuse of some minor part of one building would be carried out as a concession to
  the buildings' value, there is no evidence that this would be done or even enforceable
  if any of the existing fabric of either building is removed as a result of a preliminary
  approval for demolition.
- No work should be done without expert consultant advice being carried out in accordance with ICOMOS and Burra Charter principles,
- The buildings are not divisible and jointly comprise the listing. The fact that there are 2 does not make the removal of one an option on the basis that one remains. This should not be considered as a trade off as there is only one appropriate neighbour to 84 Fitzroy Street and it currently exists at 82 Fitzroy Street. The challenge in the design of buildings to be constructed in the future is their appropriateness and compatibility with the existing listing.

Yours faithfully

Geoff Cook
DIRECTOR